Review Form for conferences

Instructions for Reviewers:

The overall process is double blind peer reviewed. We kindly ask the reviewers to treat all the information as confident.

For each criterion the reviewer is asked to assign a rating:

- excellent
- very good
- sufficient
- insufficient

Title of the reviewed paper:

Topic
Is the topic relevant to the conference?

Structure
Is the paper´s structure coherent? Is it in coherence with the goal of the paper?

Tools and Methods
Are methods the author uses adequate and well used?

Discussion and Conclusion
Is it related to the results presented before? Do you consider them as coherent?

Literature
Does the author utilize relevant literature?

eurrec.org

<table>
<tr><td>English language
Is the article written in good English?</td></tr>
<tr><td> </td></tr>
</table>

<table>
<tr><td>Comments

</td></tr>
</table>

Recommendation (mark only one) :

A   Acceptable for presentation and publication in the conference proceedings

R   Acceptable after corrections

N   Not acceptable